# PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSES OF INCLUSION OF EGG-PLUS IN DIETS OF LAYING HENS AND ITS EFFECT ON PRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE

G. M. El-Gendi<sup>1</sup>; I. E. El-Wardany<sup>2</sup> and M.M. Iraqi<sup>1</sup>

- 1- Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Benha Branch
- 2- Poultry Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Ain Shams University

#### SUMMARY

An experiment was conducted to estimate the effect of Egg-plus (EP) on some physiological responses and productive performance of laying hens. A total of 240 Hy-Line pullets aged 22 wks were selected randomly, equally divided into four groups and fed layer diets supplemented with 0, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg EP/ton ration. Results obtained can be summarized as follows. Egg production was 1.87%/hen/day higher / in hens fed 2.0 kg EP/ton diet than control group. However, egg weight and egg mass were 2.46 g and 2.5 g/hen/day higher in hens fed diet with 1.5 kg EP/ton, respectively. Analysis of variance showed highly significant (P<0.001) differences in egg weight only due to treatments applied. Hens fed 1.5 kg EP/ton diet had significantly higher (P<0.001) absolute and proportional albumen weight, while hens received 2.0 kg EP/ton diet had the highest absolute and proportional yolk weight. The inclusion of 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg EP/ton diet increased shell weight per unit surface area (SWUSA) than control. Diet supplemented with 1.5 EP/ton significantly improved f(P<0.001) feed conversion when compared with other treatments applied and control group. Plasma calcium and inorganic phosphorus increased as EP supplementation increased. Hens fed 1.5 kg/ton diet had significantly higher (P<0.001) plasma total lipids and cholesterol, while the lowest values were observed in control. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences in plasma calcium, total lipids and cholesterol due to experimental intervals. Supplementing ration with EP significantly reduced (P<0.01) yolk total lipids and cholesterol. Plasma and yolk total lipids and cholesterol differed according to experimental intervals, reaching their maximum values at the end of the experimental period.

Significant positive correlation was noted between yolk weight and each of yolk cholesterol and plasma calcium. Inverse relationships were found between yolk cholesterol and each of plasma total lipids and cholesterol. Significant positive correlations (P<0.01) were observed between plasma calcium and either of plasma total lipids or cholesterol. Highly significant regression coefficient for egg weight, egg production, shell and yolk weights and SWUSA on plasma calcium was noted.

Egg-Plus at a level of 1.5 and 1.0 kg/ton diet, respectively seemed to be adequate to achieve the favourable results and may be recommended from the economic standpoint of

### INTRODUCTION

It is almost impossible to prepare efficient diet for layers without using either chemical or biological nutritive or non-nutritive additives because they become vital to modern feeds. Antibiotics (Younis, 1987), probiotics (Mohan et al. 1995), enzymes (Makled, 1993) and Hattaba et al., 1994) and

herbal extracts, probiotic and enzymes (Radwan et al., 1995). Recently intensive studies (Radwan et al., 1995; Soliman et al., 1995; El-Gendi, 1996 and Khodary et al., 1996) were carried out to investigate the efficiency of herb, edible plants and some plant seeds as natural tonic, restoratives, antibacterial, and anti-parasitic drugs on improving the

productive performance in fowl. However, few reports are available concerning laying hens. Therefore the present study was undertaken to asses the effect of Egg Plus as feed additive on some physiological responses and productive performance in pullets.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out at the Poultry Research Farm, Department of Animal Production, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Benha Branch.

A total number of 240 pullets of Hy-Line aged 22 weeks were randomly selected and kept in the floor laying houses. Pullets were randomly divided into four equal groups each of 60 pullets. Layer diet (Table, 1) was offered either alone (control group) or supplemented with 3 Egg Plus (a product from herbal and edible plants fermented and dried extraction) as level of 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg/ton diet to birds of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd experimental groups of birds. The trial was continued after sexual maturity (20% egg production) for seven 15-days periods. Fatty acids, amino acids and mineral contents of Egg-Plus (EP)were carried out at Arid Land Agricultural research unit, Faculty of Agriculture Ain-Shams University.

Pullets were kept under similar and standard hygienic and environmental conditions. Feed and water were offered ad-libitum and maintained on 16 hours light per day. Egg production, egg weight, egg mass, feed consumption and conversion (recorded for six days biweekly) were estimated as parameters of productive performance. Whereas, absolute and relative weights of albumen, yolk and shell and shell weight per unit surface area (SWUSA)was considered as parameters of detecting egg and shell quality.

Eggs laid during two days each seconsecutive weeks were were broken and yolk was compensated from albumen. Yolks then frozen at -10°C until the channel analysis of cholesterol according to the Clarkie et al., (1953) and total lips carried out according to the Clarkie Methods of A.O.A.C. (1990).

Heparinized blood samples were withdrawn from the wing vein of an hens selected randomly per each grant at sexual maturity, at the peak of comproduction and at the end of the experimental period. Plasma separated and stored at -20°C = == time of chemical analysis. Plasma lipids, cholesterol, calcium and inorganic phosphorus colorimetricaly determined 2500 commercial kits purchased from Boo-Merieux (Morcyl Etiols Charbon and Rains/ France).

The calculations of warrance using ANOVA Process
carried out using SAS Process
1996 (SAS, 1996) using the file of the same of

 $Y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + B_j + (\alpha B)_{ij} + \epsilon_{jk}$ where:

Y<sub>ik</sub> = The observation on k<sup>a</sup>

 $\mu$  = The common mean.  $\alpha_i$  = The fixed effect of the  $\pi$ 

B<sub>j</sub> = The fixed effect of the (α B) <sub>i,j</sub> = The fixed effect of the x interval interaction.

 $e_{ijk}$  = The random error independently randomly  $\delta^2 e$ ).

Means were compared by "Duncan" multiple compared 1996).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1- Composition and chemical of Egg - Plus:

Egg-Plus which is recommended as feed additive contains a high concentrated extracts of edible plants special parts choice (palm pollen grains, sessame indicum, trigonella foenum graceum, foeniculum vulgarae and nigella sativa) mixed with dried extraction by - products (Massoud, 1997). Analysis of EP (Table, 2) showed that it contains adequate amounts of unsaturated essential fatty acids (Murray et al., 1991) which are necessary for increasing egg production and egg weight (Leo and Jensen, 1963), Witehood, 1980 and Massoud, 1992).

Mineral content of the EP (Table, 2) is considered to be of good balanced amounts needed for biosynthesis of the shell matrix and for well built pallicade columns which resulted in good egg shell and internal egg qualities that minimize microbial penteration (Witehood, 1980, Solomon, 1985 and Massoud, 1992).

The higher lysine and methionine+cystine amino acids contents of EP (Table, 2) which are known to be essential and limiting amino acids for protein biosynthesis (El-Gendi, 1996) give EP its good potential effect on productive performance. Therefore, it could be of great use to recommend EP as feed additive supplemented to layer diets to improve their productive performance.

# 2. Rate of egg production, egg weight and mass:

Results obtained (Table, 3) showed that, the inclusion of EP in different levels increased egg production, egg weight and egg mass. Hens fed 2.0 kg/ton diet had 1.87% higher egg production per hen / day than control. On the other hand, hens fed 1.5 kg/ton diet had 2.46 g egg weight and 2.51 g egg mass per hen per day than the control. The present results agree with those reported by Oser (1979); Witehood

(1980); Anis (1985); Peter (1988); Massoud (1992) and Radwan et al., (1995) who stated that, supplementing layer feeds with EP stimulate LH surge to an optimum level for better ovulation. They added that, egg weight increased by 2-3% due to the availability of linoleic acid in EP feed additive. Analysis of variance showed highly significant (P<0.001) differences in egg weight among the experimental groups.

Rate of egg production and egg weight increased as the time passed reaching its maximum values at the 12<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> wks after sexual maturity for egg production and egg weight, respectively. Experimental intervals had highly significant (P<0.001) effects on egg production, egg weight and egg mass. Results obtained agree with those of Nagarajan et al., (1991) and Mady and Ahmed (1998).

#### Absolute and proportional albumen and yolk weights:

Inspection of data (Table, 4) shows that, hens fed 1.5 kg EP/ton diet significantly (P<0.001) had higher absolute (32.42 g) and proportional (62.08%) albumen weight. However, hens supplied with 2.0 kg/ton diet had higher absolute (13.86 g) and proportional (27.62%) yolk weight when compared with the control or the other treatments applied.

These results agree with those reported by Radwan et al., (1995). Analysis of variance revealed significant (P<0.001) variation due to treatments applied in absolute and proportional albumen weight and proportional yolk weight only.

Significant variation found in albumen and yolk weights due to treatments applied and experimental intervals may be attributed to the significant variation existed in average egg weight. It is well know that there is positive correlation between albumen weight and egg size (El-Aggoury, 1974).

 Absolute and proportional shell weight and shell weight per unit surface area (SWUSA):

It is clear from (Table, 5) that the inclusion of 1.0 kg EP/ton diet increased absolute and proportional egg shell weight by values mounted 0.19 g and 0.05%, respectively over the control. Also, applying EP at levels of 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 kg EP/ton ration increased SWUSA by 0.11; 4.34 and 1.68 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively over the control. Similar results were observed by Solomon (1985) and (1988), Massoud (1992) and Radwan ct al., (1995) who reported that the trace elements present in EP mainly organic (Ca, Mn, I and P) are easily and quickly absorbed. They added that, calcium contents in EP can be easily bound with amino acids in alimentary tract, which facilitate its absorption to be percipitated in pure form on the fiberous layer to form shell mammellery layer and a good shell formation. Analysis of variance revealed highly significant (P<0.001) variation in absolute and proportional shell weight and SWUSA due to treatments applied, experimental period and the interaction between them.

5. Feed consumption and conversion:

Data in (Table, 6) showed that, hens fed 1.0 or 2.0 kg EP/ton diet consumed more food 112.43 and 112.23 g/hen/day, respectively allover the experimental period than those fed 1.5 kg EP and control (106.68 and 110.83 g/hen/day, respectively). The corresponding values for feed conversion were 3.24; 3.27, 2.99 and 3.34 kg feed/kg eggs for 1.0, 2.0, 1.5 kg EP/ton diet and control, respectively. Analysis of variance and Duncan multiple range test revealed highly significant (P<0.001) variation in either feed consumption or conversion at all periods of estimation due to treatments applied, experimental

intervals and the interaction between them.

The effectiveness of EP dietary suplementation in improving feed conrsion may be attributed to its effect and improving the digestibility of the variety dietary ingredients as a result of increasing the surge of the passive testinal hormones and corresponding to the stimulation of various digestives, containing greater amount digestive enzymes resulting to a conversion (Alishekhov et al., Sabra and Mehta, 1990; Hassish et al., 1992; Radwan et al., 1995; El-Ganda, 1996 and Massoud, 1997).

6- Plasma calcium and increase phosphorus:

Plasma calcium and impair phosphorus increased with the increased with the increased in EP supplementation rate (Table Plasma calcium content was 334 and 2.51 mg/100 ml higher in the control. The corresponding plasma phosphorus were 0.264 and 0.61 mg/100 ml, respectively plasma calcium only was separated (P<0.001) affected by dictary applied.

The higher average of calcium (18.74) and phosphares mg/100 ml) were attained at the experimental period and attained of egg production, respectively imental intervals had highly service (P<0.001) effect on plasma

This may lead to significant increase in the calcium level to the increase in the biosynthesis of vit. D desired to 1,25 (OH<sub>2</sub>) vit. D<sub>3</sub> or 1,24 CH<sub>2</sub> which are considered the forms of vit. D<sub>3</sub> facilitating the calcium absorption through the wall and corresponding

level in blood plasma (Norman, 1979). The increasing amount of calcium may be quickly utilized into shell formation and thus did not affect the phosphorus homeostasis. This may be a good reason for the insignificant variation found in the plasma phosphorus content.

7- Plasma total lipids and cholesterol:

Data in (Table, 7) showed that, hens 1.5 kg EP/ ton ration had significantly (P<0.001) higher plasma total lipids (16.97 g/100 ml) and cholesterol (221.1 mg/100 ml). While the lowest values were observed in control (14.09 g/100 ml and 179.4 mg/100 ml for plasma total lipids and cholesterol, respectively). Theses results agree with those of El-Gendi (1996) who reported that scrum total lipids and cholesterol increased with increased level of EP in the diet. This may be due to the increase occured in the rate of total lipids and cholesterol absorption through the intestinal villi which reflected as an increased level of them in serum (Massoud, 1994).

Plasma total lipids as well as plasma cholesterol significantly increased as the time elapsed, reaching its maximum values at the end of the experimental period (16.19 g/100 ml and 198.7 mg/100 ml for plasma total lipids and cholesterol, respectively).

#### 8- Yolk total lipids and cholesterol:

Supplementing ration with EP significantly reduced yolk total lipids and cholesterol with an increasing level as the level of dietary EP increased (Table 8). This may lead to conclude that there is no relation between the plasma content of cholesterol and total lipids and their levels in egg yolk. It is well known that yolk content of either cholesterol and total lipids physiologically depend on an enzymatic system resulting the biological process of their active transmission through the growing ovum cell membrane and the

hormonal status during the egg formation. Ovarian hormones (oestrogen and progesterone) may have a role in combination with the glucocorticoids (mainly cortisol) in the process of total lipids and cholesterol mobilization rate from plasma to the growing ovum.

Yolk total lipids and cholesterol significantly differed according to experimental intervals, reaching its maximum values at the end of the experiment (38.65 g/100 g and 43.30 mg/g for yolk total lipids and cholesterol, respectively).

9- Economic efficiency:

Results of economical efficiency from hen fed the experimental diets are summarized in table (9). The results indicate that all diets supplemented with EP had higher economic efficiency than the basal diet. This may be due to the higher egg production rate of treated birds compared to control. From economic side of view it could be reported that, ration containing 1.5 and 1.0 kg EP/ton diet, respectively seemed to be adequate to achieve favorable results and would be more economic than other treatments.

10- Correlation coefficient values between some traits of egg and some plasma parameters.

Weights of different egg component (albumen, yolk and shell) were positively correlated with yolk cholesterol content. Correlation coefficient values ranged between 0.225 to 0.355 that are mostly low, but lead to an important conclusion. Yolk weight is mostly related to the amount of deutoplasm accompanied the female ovum. It is logic to expect the increase of all yolk materials and specially yolk cholesterol as its weight increases. Correspondingly, egg albumen and shell weights are positively corelated with yolk weight. These results disagree with those reported by (Hall and Mckay,

1992) who found negative phenotypic relationships between yolk cholesterol concentration and both rate of egg production and yolk weight.

On the other hand, plasma calcium content was found to be positively (P<0.001) correlated with yolk weight, shell weight and SWUSA. This could be attributed to the fact stated that scrum calcium is the main source of egg shell calcium.

Negative but low correlation coefficient value (-0.254) was found between egg yolk total lipids and cholesterol. On the other hand, yolk cholesterol content was negatively correlated with total lipids in blood plasma which is also highly correlated with plasma cholesterol content. Plasma calcium was found to be positive (P<0.01) correlated with plasma cholesterol (0.306) and total lipids (0.274). These results agree with those of Abdel-Hakim et al., (1982) and Hall and Mckay (1992) who found that plasma cholesterol and plasma total lipids were highly significant correlated. They added that a positive correlation were noted between serum calcium and serum cholesterol.

11- Multivariate regression coefficient among plasma calcium, phosphorus, total lipids and cholesterol and each of egg traits and yolk total lipids and cholesterol:

Data in (Table, 11) indicate that the regression coefficients of egg weight, egg production, shell weight, yolk weight and SWUSA on plasma calcium were positive with highly significant (P<0.01) values. The rate of egg production increased by 4.23 egg and the weights of these traits increased by 0.97, 0.29, 0.40 g and 1.94 mg/cm² for egg weight, shell weight, yolk weight and SWUCA, respectively per unit change of plasma calcium.

Yolk total lipids are significantly regressed on plasma cholesters (P<0.05) by −0.01 and on plasma total lipids (P<0.05), by 0.21. Also, will cholesterol is significantly regression as plasma phosphorus (P<0.05) and total lipids (P<0.05) by −0.73, total respectively.

Y-Intercept for most of studied training were positive and highly significant (P<0.01).

#### REFRENCES

Abdel-Hakim, N. F., F. M. Ania, A. A. Amer and T. M. Younis (1982). Influence of dietary energy sources blood, liver, egg yolk choices total lipids and liver weight growing and laying chicks. A. Agric. Res. Bulletin, 4:1-23.

Alishckhov, A.M., V. N. Danilov, E. M. Belov and K.L. Kurbanov, Enzyme preparation in deep brolier chickens, Zhivotovodsio 2:45-46. Nutr. Abstr. 57(4):1859.

Anis, M. (1985). Estimation of deserenin in seeds induced arranged trigonella fenum graceum. Fameapia, No.1.

A.O.A.C. (1990), Official Medical of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 15 Edition. Washington, USA.

El-Aggoury, S. M. (1974) Effect of methods and periods of preserving of eggs from Katman 18 and 18 a

El-Gendi, G. M. (19%). Effects ding dietary herbal feet and productive and metabolic entropy of brolier chicks. Epops. Page 16(II):395-412.

- Hall, L. M. and Mckay, J. C. (1992): Variation in egg cholesterol concentration between and within breeds of the domestic fowl. Brit. Poult. Sci., 33:941-946.
- Hashish, S. A. A., J. M. Gropp and R.T. Doris (1992). Nutrient digestibility of 30% rye seed broiler diet as influenced by carbohydrate splitting enzyme, Egypt. Poult. Sci. 12:399.
- Hattaba, N.A., S. A. Ibrahim, A. I. Faham and M. A.El-Shickh (1994). Utilization of enzyme prepartion kemzyme in layer rations 2<sup>nd</sup> scientific conference on poultry 12-13 Sep (1994). Kafr El-Shickh,
- Khodary, R.M., M.H. El-Ezzawy and I.R. Hamdy (1996). Effect of Nigella sativa on egg production, hatchability percentage and some biochemical values in laying hens with reference to fertility in cockerels. 7th Sci. Cong., Fac. Vet. Med., Assuit Univ., 17-19 Nov. Egypt.
- Leo, S. and S. Jensen (1963). Essential fatty acids difficiency in the laying hens. Poult. Sci., 42, 1014-1019.
- Mady, M. E. I. And H.A. Ahmed (1998).
  Oviposition time affecting egg shell quality and plasma calcium in Japanese quail. Egypt. Poult. Sci., 18(II):399-412.
- Makled, M.N. (1993). Enzymes as poultry feed supplement. 4<sup>th</sup> Sci. Symp. For animal, poultry and fish nutrition. El-Fayoum, Egypt, 5-9.
- Massoud, F.I. (1992). Study of the effect of Egg-Plus feed additive on egg production and egg shell quality on commercial layers. 2<sup>nd</sup> Sci. conf. Academy of Research and Technology. Ministry of Research. Egypt.
- Massoud, F.I. (1994). Approach in poultry and animal wealth. Massoud Group. Egypt. (personal communication).

- Massoud, F.I. (1997). Technical report. Massoud Group. Egypt. (personal communication).
- Mohan, B., R. Kadirvel, M. Bhaskaran and A. Natarajan (1995). Effect of probiotic supplementation on serum / yolk cholesterol and on egg shell thickness in layer. Brit. Poult. Sci., 36:799-803.
- Murray, R. K., D. K. Granner, P. A. Mayes and V.W. Rodwell (1991). Textbook Harperis biochemistry, twenty-second edition, Appleton and Longe, Norwalk, Connecticut Los Altos, California.
- Nagarajan, S., D. Narahari, I.A. Jayaprased and D. Thyagara (1991). Influence of stocking density and layer age on production traits and egg quality in Japanese quail. Brit. Poult. Sci. 32(2): 243-248.
- Norman, A.W. (1979). Vitamin D: The calcium homeostatic steriod hormones. Academic press: 411.
- Oser, B.L. (1979). Hawk's physiological chemistry. 14<sup>th</sup> edition.
- Peter, L. (1988). How light affects the reproduction system. World poult. Act.
- Radwan, M.A., G.A. Abd Allah, H.M. Fayek and M. A. Breiweah (1995). The effect of three types of feed additives on the productive performance of layers. First Egyptian Hungarian Conf. 17-19 September, Alexandria, Egypt.
- Sabra, K.L. and R.K. Mehta (1990). A comparative study on additive of livol (herbal growth promoter) and some chemical growth promoters in the diets of broiler chickens. Ind. J. of Animal prod. and Management, 6:115-118.
- SAS. (1996). SAS procedure guide "Version 6.12<sup>th</sup> ED.," SAS Institut Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
- Soliman, A. Z., N.Y. Abd El-Malak, and A. M. Abbas (1995). Effect of using some commercial feed additives as

growth promoters on the performance of growing and adult rabbits, Epyptian J. Appl. Sci., 10(6):501-513.

Solomon, S. (1985). Egg shell quality, a structural evaluation. Poult, Int. Act.

Solomon, S. (1988). Egg shell structure and function. Poult. Int. Aug.

Younis, T.M. (1987). Effect of some feed additives on broiler performance. Ph. D. Thesis. El-Azhar Univ. Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo.

Witchood, C.C. (1980). The response of egg weight to the inclusion of different amounts of vegetable oils linoleic acid in the diet of laying hens. Brit. Poult. Sci., 22:525-532.

Zlatkis, A., B. Zak and A. Bayle (1953).
Rapid estimation of free and total cholesterol, J. Lab. Clin. Med. 41:486.

Table 1. Formula and calculated nutritive value of the experimental laying ration.

| Ingredients                 | %     |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| Yellow corn                 | 64,00 |
| Soya bean meal (44% CP)     | 20,00 |
| Fish meal (72%)             | 3.00  |
| Wheat bran                  | 3.00  |
| Limestone                   | 6.90  |
| Bone meal                   | 2,50  |
| Permix                      | 0.30  |
| Salt                        | 0.30  |
| Calculated nutritive value: |       |
| ME Kcal/kg                  | 2775  |
| Crude protein %             | 17.04 |
| Calcium %                   | 3.50  |
| Available phosphorus        | 0.48  |

Table 2. Fatty acid%, amino acid(%) and mineral (PPM) contents of Egg-Plus

| Fatty acids |      | Amino acids          |      | Minerals |        |
|-------------|------|----------------------|------|----------|--------|
| Palmitic    | 8.25 | Methionine + Cystine | 1.00 | Ca       | 3151   |
| Linoleic    | 3.19 | Methionine           | 0.44 | Mn       | 14.65  |
| Linolenic   | 2.90 | Lysine               | 1.30 | Zn       | 28.78  |
| Arachidic   | 3.20 | Arginine             | 0.91 | Fe       | 168,95 |
| Arachidonic | 1.00 | Histidine            | 0.52 | Mg       | 2443   |

## Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (1999)

Table 3. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the applying Egg Plus on egg production, weight and egg mass.

| Item  | Egg production<br>(%/hen/day)  | Egg weight   | Egg mass (g/hen/day)  |
|---|--|--|---|
| Egg plus (kg/ton)  1.0  1.5  2.0  Intervals (wks)  At sexual maturity (0 wk)  2  4  6  8  10  12  14  Grand mean  ANOVA!  Treatment (T)  Interval (t) | 64.25±0.61 A<br>65.62±0.61 A<br>66.03±0.61 A<br>66.12±0.61 A<br>21.22±0.86 F<br>38.65±0.86 E<br>57.74±0.86 D<br>77.88±0.86 B<br>80.02±0.86 B<br>80.02±0.86 A<br>88.07±0.86 A<br>73.89±0.86 C<br>65.51±3.83 | 49.88±0.52 B<br>51.15±0.52 AB<br>52.34±0.52 A<br>50.22±0.52 B<br>41.84±0.73 E<br>44.72±0.73 D<br>47.68±0.73 C<br>48.49±0.73 C<br>55.23±0.73 B<br>58.07±0.73 A<br>54.72±0.73 B<br>56.45±0.73 AB<br>50.90±3.29 | 33.17±0.57 A 34.68±0.57 A 35.68±0.57 A 35.68±0.57 A 34.33±0.57 A  08.88±0.80 F 17.28±0.80 E 27.53±0.80 D 37.76±0.80 C 44.20±0.80 B 50.25±0.80 A 41.71±0.80 B 34.47±3.58 |
| Tx1   | 3.58***  | 3.35***  | 442.79***<br>2.03**   |

1= The F-ratio is shown for all single sources of variation and their interactions.

Means having similar letters in each column are not significantly different.

ns = not significant \*\* = P<0.01 \*\*\* = P<0.001

Table 4. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the applying Egg Plus on absolute and proportional weights of albumen and volk.

| Item                      | Albume            | n weight     | Yolk weight        |                   |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| nem.                      | (g)               | (%)          | (g)                | (%)               |  |
| Egg plus (kg/ton)         | THE DESIGNATION   | Autobili     |                    |                   |  |
| 0                         | 29.96±0.42 B      | 60.21±0.33 B | 13.46±0.13 A       | 26.90±0.22 B      |  |
| 1.0                       | 30.90±.0.42 B     | 60.41±0.33 B | 13.60±0.13 A       | 26.73±0.22 BC     |  |
| 1.5                       | 32.42±0.42 A      | 62.08±0.33 A | 13.62±0.13 A       | 26.17±0.22 C      |  |
| 2.0                       | 29.96±0.42 B      | 59.79±0.33 B | 13.86±0.13 A       | 27.62±0.22 A      |  |
| Intervals (wks)           | The second second | Transaction  | 100                | Later to the same |  |
| At sexual maturity (0 wk) | 26.94±0.59 D      | 64.51±0.46 A | 10.48±0.18 E       | 24.92±0.32 C      |  |
| 2                         | 27.58±0.59CD      | 61.57±0.46 B | 12.32±0.18 D       | 27.52±0.32 A      |  |
| 4                         | 29.20±0.59 C      | 61.20±0.46 B | 12.89±0.18 C       | 27.34±0.32 A      |  |
| 6                         | 28.98±0.59 C      | 59.75±0.46 C | 13.40±0.18 C       | 27.64±0.32 A      |  |
| 8                         | 34.46±0.59 A      | 62.34±0.46 B | 14.11±0.18 B       | 25.79±0.32 BC     |  |
| 10                        | 34.58±0.59 A      | 59.30±0.46 C | 15.04±0.18 A       | 26.13±0.32 B      |  |
| 12                        | 31.34±0.59 B      | 57.19±0.46 D | 15.30±0.18 A       | 27.94±0.32 A      |  |
| 14                        | 33.42±0.59 A      | 59.12±0.46 C | 15.55±0.18 A       | 27.59±0.32 A      |  |
| Grand mean                | 30.81±0.63        | 60.62±2.06   | 13.63±0.82         | 26.86±1.42        |  |
| ANOVA1                    | 7.107.739.66      | 1            |                    | 1100              |  |
| Treatment (T)             | 7.76***           | 9.58***      | 1.62 <sup>rs</sup> | 7.07***           |  |
| Interval (I)              | 27.26***          | 24.14***     | 89.22***           | 11.88***          |  |
| T×I                       | 2.71***           | 2.15***      | 6.35***            | 4.88***           |  |

1= The F-ratio is shown for all single sources of variation and their interactions.

Means having similar letters in each column are not significantly different.

ns = not significant \*\*\* = P<0.001

#### Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (1999)

Table 5. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the applying Egg Plus on shell quality.

| Item                      | Shell weight(g) | Shell weight(%) | SWUSA (mg/Cm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Egg plus (kg/ton)         |                 |                 | , , ,                       |
| 0                         | 6.33±0.08 A     | 12.63±0.16 A    | 73.17±0.97 B                |
| 1.0                       | 6.52±0.08 A     | 12.68±0.16 A    | 73.28±0.97 B                |
| 1.5                       | 6.15±0.08 B     | 11.72±0.16 B    | 77.51±0.97 A                |
| 2.0                       | 6.27±0.08 A     | 12.42±0.16 A    | 74.85±0.97 AB               |
| Intervals (wks)           |                 |                 | 7 7.00 20.77 7.15           |
| At sexual maturity (0 wk) | 4.44±0.11 G     | 10.68±0.23 D    | 69.97±1.37 CD               |
| 2                         | 4.88±0.11 F     | 10.92±0.23 D    | 55.74±1.37 E                |
| THE PERSON NAMED IN       | 5.62±0.11 E     | 11.82±0.23 C    | 66.17±1.37 D                |
|                           | 6.13±0.11 D     | 12.66±0.23 B    | 73.95±1.37 C                |
| - CONTRACTOR              | 6.59±0.11 C     | 11.95±0.23 C    | 72.60±1.37 C                |
| 10                        | 8.06±0.11 A     | 13.96±0.23 A    | 88.50±1.37 A                |
| 12                        | 7.55±0.11 B     | 13.88±0.23 A    | 89.59±1.37 A                |
| 14                        | 7.34±0.11 B     | 13.05±0.23 B    | 81.12±1.37 B                |
| Grand mean                | 6.32±0.48       | 12.36±1.01      | 74.70±6.14                  |
| ANOVA <sup>1</sup>        | 0.0020.10       | 14.5021.01      | 74.7010.14                  |
| Treatment (T)             | 5.62***         | 5.56***         | 4.34**                      |
| interval (1)              | 143.57***       | 30.21***        | 69.15***                    |
| [×]                       | 5.58***         | 4.65***         | 6.48***                     |

Table 6. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the applying Egg Plus on feed consumption and conversion.

| Item                      | Feed consumption<br>(g/hen/day) | Feed conversion<br>(kg feed / kg eggs) |  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Egg plus (kg/ton)         | The second second               |  |  |
| 0                         | 110.83±0.54 B                   | 3,34±0,16 B                            |  |
| 1.0                       | 112.43±0.54 A                   | 3.24±0.16 B                            |  |
| 1.5                       | 106.68±0.54 C                   | 2.99±0.16 A                            |  |
| 2.0                       | 112.23±0.54 AB                  | 3.27±0.16 B                            |  |
| Intervals (wks)           | -Total Control Control          | 10000000                               |  |
| At sexual maturity (0 wk) | 77.65±0.77 G                    | 8.02±0.22 A                            |  |
| 2                         | 87.20±0.77 F                    | 4.32±0.22 B                            |  |
| 4                         | 104.20±0.77 E                   | 3.06±0.22 C                            |  |
| 6                         | 115.00±0.77 D                   | 2.32±0.22 D                            |  |
| 8                         | 119.25±0.77 C                   | 1.98±0.22 D                            |  |
| 10                        | 125,60±0,77 B                   | 1.78±0.22 D                            |  |
| 12                        | 129.65±0.77 A                   | 1.97±0.22 D                            |  |
| 14                        | 125,75±0,77 B                   | 2.29±0.22 D                            |  |
| Grand mean                | 110.54±3.43                     | 3.21±1.0                               |  |
| ANOVA1                    |                                 | 37-81 A-41-81-37                       |  |
| Treatment (T)             | 24.25***                        | 6.16***                                |  |
| Interval (I)              | 627.43***                       | 400.87***                              |  |
| T×I                       | 9.77***                         | 4.77***                                |  |

1= The F-ratio is shown for all single sources of variation and their interactions.

Means having similar letters in each column are not significantly different.

\*\*\* = P<0.001

Table 7. Least sq of apply and choice

| Item                             |
|----------------------------------|
| Level of Egg plus kg             |
| 1.0                              |
| 1.5                              |
| 2.0                              |
| Intervals At sexual maturity     |
| At the peak of egg pro           |
| At the end of the cape           |
| Grand mean<br>ANOVA <sup>3</sup> |
| Treatment (T)                    |
| Interval (I)<br>T × I            |
| 1 × 1                            |

I= The F-ratio is she Means having similar ns = not significant

Table 8. Least sq applying E

|   | Item                                      |
|---|---|
|   | Egg Plus (kg/ton)                         |
|   | 1.0                                       |
|   | 1.5                                       |
|   | 2.0                                       |
|   | Intervals                                 |
|   | At sexual maturity                        |
|   | At the peak of egg<br>At the end of the e |
|   | Grand mean                                |
|   | ANOVA1                                    |
| ı | Treatment (T)                             |
| ı | Interval (I)                              |
| J | T×I                                       |

1= The F-ratio is show Means having similar ns = not significant

Table 7. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the effect of applying Egg Plus on plasma calcium, inorganic phosphorus, total lipids and cholesterol.

| Item   | Plasma<br>calcium<br>mg/100 ml   | Inorganic<br>phosphorus<br>mg/100 mi   | Total lipids<br>g/100 ml   | Cholesterol<br>mg/100 ml     |
|--|--|--|--|------------------------------|
| Level of Egg plus kg/ton 0 1.0 1.5 2.0 Intervals At sexual maturity At the peak of egg production At the end of the experiment Grand mean ANOVA Treatment (T) interval (1) **1 | 15.83±0.53 C<br>16.13±0.53 BC<br>17.51±0.53 AB<br>18.34±0.53 A<br>15.13±0.46 A<br>16.98±0.46 B<br>18.74±0.46 C<br>16.95±2.07<br>4.87***<br>15.19***<br>3.24*** | 5.13±0.16 A<br>5.39±0.16 A<br>5.49±0.16 A<br>5.74±0.16 A<br>5.21±0.13 A<br>5.67±0.13 A<br>5.43±0.13 A<br>5.44±0.60<br>2.66**<br>2.85** | 15.88±0.22 B<br>16.97±0.22 A<br>15.99±0.22 B<br>15.40±0.19 B<br>15.62±0.19 B<br>16.19±0.19 A<br>15.73±0.85<br>30.08***<br>4.60** | 179.4±3.99 B<br>181.0±3.99 B |

I= The F-ratio is shown for all single sources of variation and their interactions. Means having similar letters in each column are not significantly different. ns = not significant \* = P < 0.05 \*\* = P < 0.01 \*\*\* = P < 0.001

Table 8. Least square means, standard errors and tests of significance for the applying Egg Plus on yolk total lipids and cholesterol.

| Item   | Yolk total lipids<br>(g/100g)                              | Yolk cholesterol mg/g                                      |
|--|--|--|
| Egg Plus (kg/ton)  |  |  |
| 0  | 39.23±0.22 A   | 44.83±0.28 A   |
| 1.0  | 38.16±0.22 B   | 40.94±0.28 C   |
| 1.5  | 38.18±0.22 B   | 41.49±0.28 C   |
| 2.0<br>Intervals   | 38.31±0.22 B   | 43.14±0.28 B   |
| At sexual maturity At the peak of egg production At the end of the experiment Grand mean ANOVA <sup>1</sup> Treatment (T)  | 38.62±0.19 A<br>38.12±0.19 A<br>38.65±0.19 A<br>38.46±0.84 | 42.38±0.24 B<br>42.13±0.24 B<br>43.30±0.24 A<br>42.60±1.10 |
| nterval (I)  T × I  The F-ratio is shown for all significant for a | 2.48 <sup>m</sup><br>0.03 <sup>m</sup>                     | 39.66***<br>6.45***<br>0.10 <sup>m</sup>                   |

I= The F-ratio is shown for all single sources of variation and their interactions. Means having similar letters in each column are not significantly different. 

\*\* = P < 0.01 
\*\*\* = P < 0.001

Table 9. Economical evaluation of feeding Egg Plus kg/ton to layer.

| Item                      | 0     | 1.0   | 1.5   | 2.0   |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fixed cost/hen L.E        | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| Management/hen L.E        | 1.50  | 1.50  | 1.50  | 1.50  |
| Total feed cost/hen L.E   | 5.40  | 5.43  | 5.34  | 5.72  |
| Total costs /hen L.E.     | 18.90 | 18.93 | 18.84 | 19.22 |
| Total No. of egg/hen      | 67.46 | 68.90 | 69.33 | 69.43 |
| Total egg price/hen L.E.2 | 14.84 | 15.16 | 15.25 | 15.27 |
| Price of sold bird L.E.   | 9.00  | 9.00  | 9.00  | 9.00  |
| Total revenue/hen L.E.    | 23.84 | 24.16 | 24.25 | 24.27 |
| Net revenue/hen L.E.      | 4.94  | 5.23  | 5.41  | 5.05  |
| Economic efficiency       | 0.261 | 0.276 | 0.287 | 0.263 |
| Relative E. E.            | 100   | 106   | 110   | 101   |

1- Include medication, vaccines and sanitation.

2-The price of an egg at the time of the experiment = 22 P.T.

3- Net revenue per unit of total costs.

The price of 1 kg EP=19 L.E.

Table 10. Correlation coeffici parameters.

| Trait correlated   | 7                   |
|--------------------|---------------------|
|                    | Total lipids        |
| Egg weight         | -0.011 <sup>m</sup> |
| Albumen weight     | -0.011**            |
| Yolk weight        | 0.014**             |
| Shell weight       | -0.040°             |
| SWUSA              | -0.040°             |
| Egg production     | -0.148**            |
| Yolk total lipids  |                     |
| Yolk cholesterol   | 100                 |
| Plasma calcium     | 4-100               |
| Plasma phosphorus  | 000                 |
| Plasma cholesterol |                     |

Table 11. Multivariable regr egg traits and yolk to

| Trait             |             |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Egg weight        | 35.61 +     |
| Egg production    | -79.4° +4   |
| Shell weight      | 2.42** + 0. |
| Albumen weight    | 26.81 -     |
| Yolk weight       | 6,98° + 0.  |
| SWUSA             | 53.64 + 1   |
| Yolk total lipids | 37.75 +1    |
| Yolk cholesterol  | 52.39 +1    |

Pca= plasma calcium, pph=pi plasma total lipids.

## Egyptian J. Nutrition and Feeds (1999)

Table 10. Correlation coefficient values between some traits of egg and some plasma parameters.

| Trait correlated   | Yolk                 |             | Plasma   |            |             |              |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| Trut correlated    | Total lipids         | Cholesterol | Calcium  | phosphorus | Cholesterol | Total lipids |
| Egg weight         | -0.011 <sup>ms</sup> | 0.365 ns    | 0.372 ** | 0.070 ms   | -0.003 m    | 0.081 m      |
| Albumen weight     | -0.011 <sup>m</sup>  | 0.341**     | 0.189 m  | 0.010 ms   | -0.003 m    | 0.049 ns     |
| Yolk weight        | 0.014 ***            | 0.355       | 0.424*** | 0.149 ns   | -0.033 ns   | 0.057 ns     |
| Shell weight       | -0.040 TM            | 0.255*      | 0.526    | 0.085 **   | 0.022 m     | 0.099 ns     |
| SWUSA              | -0.040 PM            | 0.158 ns    | 0.483*** | -0.025 TM  | 0.088 ms    | 0.156 m      |
| Egg production     | -0.148 <sup>m</sup>  | 0.080 ns    | 0.411    | 0.261 "5   | 0.129 m     | 0.133 tu     |
| Yolk total lipids  |                      | 254*        | -0.119 m | 0.010 ms   | -0.160 ms   | 0.090 ns     |
| Yolk cholesterol   |                      | 100         | 0.070 ns | -0.161 ms  | -0.172 **   | -0.368***    |
| Plasma calcium     |                      | 100         | -        | 0.187 m    | 0.306**     | 0.274**      |
| Plasma phosphorus  |                      | more.       | 1        | C. Day Co. | -0.059 m    | -0.094 m     |
| Plasma cholesterol |                      |             |          | 100        | 4           | 0.663***     |

ns = not significant \* = P<0.05 \*\* = P<0.01 \*\*\* = P<0.001.

Table 11. Multivariable regression coefficients among plasma contents and each of egg traits and yolk total lipids and cholesterol.

| Trait             | Regression equation  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Egg weight        | 35.61** + 0.97**pca + (-0.09)**pph + (-0.05)**pchol + 0.41** ptl               |  |  |  |
| Egg production    | -79.4°° + 4.23°° pca + 9.12°° pph + (-0.02)°° pchol + 1.03°° ptl               |  |  |  |
| Shell weight      | 2.42 <sup>ns</sup> + 0.29** pca + (-0.06)** pph + (-0.01)** pchol + 0.07** ptl |  |  |  |
| Albumen weight    | 26.81** + 0.27**pca + (-0.17)** pph + (-0.01)** pchol + 0.64** ptl             |  |  |  |
| Yolk weight       | 6.98°s + 0.40° pca + 0.22°s pph + (-0.02)°s pchol + 0.14°s ptl                 |  |  |  |
| SWUSA             | 53.64"+ 1.94" pca + (-2.02)" pph + (-0.05)" pchol + 0.64" ptl                  |  |  |  |
| Yolk total lipids | 37.75** + (-0.04)** pca + 0.06 pph + (-0.01)* pchol + 0.21* ptl                |  |  |  |
| Yolk cholesterol  | 52.39** + 0.15**pca + -0.73* pph + 0.01** pchol + 0.60** ptl                   |  |  |  |

Pca= plasma calcium, pph=plasma phosphorus, pchol= plasma cholesterol and ptl = plasma total lipids.

السنجيه الفسيولوجيه لاستخدام الأج -بلس في علائق الدجاج البياض وتسأثيره

على الكفاءه الإنتاجيه

محمود مغربی عراقی ابراهيم الورداني جخر مصود الجندي

المساح الإنتاج الحيوالي كلية الزراعة بمشتهر - جامعة الزقازيق (فرع بنها)

" قسم إنتاج الدواجن كلية الزراعة - جامعة عين شمس

ت التجربه لتقدير تأثير الأج الس على الاستجابه الفسيولوجيه والكفاء، الانتاجيه في الدجاج البياض المنظمة المنظ محويه للوزن المطلق والنسبي للبياض، بياما كان للدجاج المخدى على عليقه بها ٢كجم / طـن هــــــ أعلى وزن مطلق ونسبى للصفار. أدى إضافه الأج بلس بمستوى ١، ٥ر ١ ، ٢ كجم/طن إلسى 🚞 تشره لكل وحدة من مساحه السطح. أنت التغذيه على علائق محتويه على در ١ كجم أج بالس ك المعاملات الأخرى.

عدرها من احتاسيوم والتوسطور تعيير عصوى برزيده مستوى الاح باس في تعليقه حسد المستوى الاح باس في تعليقه حسد المستوى المستوى في البلازما مسن المستوى أن المستوى أن المستوى المست 🛎 الأج لبس إلى العليقه إلى حدوث الخفاض معنوى فيمحنوى الصفار من الكولسكير ول والليهـ دات ك محتوى البلازما والصفار من اللبيدات الكليه والكولستيرول بإختلاف الغتره التجريبيه حيست \_ قبر لما عند نهاية التجربه . وجد إرتباط معنوى موجب بين وزن الصفار وكل مسمن محسوى ح التواسترول وكالسوم البلازما. وجنت علاقه عكسيه بين كولسترول الصفار ومحتوى البلازما ر الله عند الله والكولسترول. كان هناك إرتباط معنوى موجب بين كالسيوم البلازما وكل من اللبيدات المعتوية أوزن البلازما. كان معامل الإنعدار عالى المعتوية لوزن البيضة، التساج البيسض، وزن

معاد ووزن الشر لكل وهده من مساحة السطح على كالسيوم البلازما. الإنسانية يمكن أن نوسني بإضافة الأج بلس بمعدل ١,٥ و ١ كجم/طن عليقه علــــى

الرف الماق النماح الهاض،